

# Honorable Bruce A. Markell United States Bankruptcy Judge



E-FILED: April 13, 2012

Entered on Docket April 16, 2012

George Haines, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 9411
David Krieger, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 9086
HAINES & KRIEGER, LLC
5041 North Rainbow
Las Vegas, NV 89130
Phone: (702) 880-5554

Phone: (702) 880-5554 FAX: (702) 385-5518

Email: info@hainesandkrieger.com Attorney for Joshua D. Kerns

#### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

#### **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

In Re:		) Case No. BKS-11-20458- BAM ) Chapter 13
Joshua D. Kerns,		Hearing Date: April 12, 2012 Hearing Time: 2:30PM
	Debtor(s).	)
		)

## ORDER ON OBJECTION TO BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BAC HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP'S CLAIM BY DEBTOR

The above objection to Claim No. <u>5-1</u> having come on for hearing on the date and time above:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the claim of BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BAC HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP shall be

barred from being asserted against the Debtor, and the Chapter 13 Trustee shall not disburse any funds to this claim through the Debtor's Chapter 13.

Dated: April 13, 2012

Submitted by: /s/George Haines, Esq.

George Haines, Esq. Attorney for the Debtor(s)

### **ALTERNATIVE METHOD re: RULE 9021**:

In accordance with LR 9021, counsel submitting this document certifies that the order accurately reflects the court's ruling and that (check one):

\_\_\_\_ The court has waived the requirement set forth in 9021(b)(1).

\_\_\_ No party appeared at the hearing or filed an objection to the motion.

\_\_\_ I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the hearing, and any unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing, and each has approved or disapproved the order, or failed to respond, as indicated below [list each party and whether the party has approved, disapproved, or failed to respond to the document]:

APPROVED:

DISAPPROVED:

FAILED TO RESPOND:

\_x\_\_ I certify that this is a case under Chapter 7 or 13, that I have served a copy of this order with the motion pursuant to LR 9014(g), and that no party has objected to the form or content of the order.

Submitted by: /s/George Haines, Esq.
George Haines, Esq.

Attorney for the Debtor(s)

###